

COARSE AND UNIFORM EMBEDDINGS.

B. M. BRAGA

ABSTRACT. In these notes, we study the relation between uniform and coarse embeddings between Banach spaces. In order to understand this relation better, we also look at the problem of when a coarse embedding can be assumed to be topological. Among other results, we show that if a Banach space X uniformly embeds into a minimal Banach space Y , then X simultaneously coarsely and uniformly embeds into Y , and if a Banach space X coarsely embeds into a minimal Banach space Y , then X simultaneously coarsely and homeomorphically embeds into Y by a map with uniformly continuous inverse.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The study of Banach spaces as metric spaces had recently increased significantly, and much had been done regarding the uniform, coarse Lipschitz, and coarse theory of Banach spaces in the past two decades (see Subsection 2.2 for definitions). Although the uniform theory of Banach spaces had already been extensively studied in the past, only recently we have been starting to understand the coarse theory better. For example, it had been known since 1984 that there are uniformly homeomorphic separable Banach spaces which are not linearly isomorphic (see [Ri], Theorem 1), but it was not till 2012 that N. Kalton was able to show that there are coarsely equivalent separable Banach spaces (i.e., with Lipschitz isomorphic nets) which are not uniformly homeomorphic (see [Ka3], Theorem 8.9). However, it is still not known whether the concepts of uniform and coarse embeddings are equivalent. Precisely, the following problem remains open.

Problem 1.1. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Does X uniformly embed into Y if and only if X coarsely embed into Y ?

In [R], N. Randrianarivony had shown that a Banach space coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space if and only if it uniformly embeds into a Hilbert space. In [Ka2], Kalton showed that the same also holds for embeddings into ℓ_∞ (Theorem 5.3). C. Rosenthal had made some improvements on the problem above by showing that if X uniformly embeds into Y , then X simultaneously uniformly and coarsely embeds into $\ell_p(Y)$, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ (see [Ro]). In particular, if X uniformly embeds into ℓ_p , then X simultaneously coarsely and uniformly embeds into ℓ_p . On the other hand, A. Naor had recently proven that there exist separable Banach spaces X and Y , and a Lipschitz map f from a net $N \subset X$ into Y such that

$$\sup_{x \in N} \|F(x) - f(x)\| = \infty,$$

for all uniformly continuous maps $F : X \rightarrow Y$ (see [N]). Such result suggests that it may not be true (or at least not easy to show) that X uniformly embeds into Y , given that X coarsely embeds into Y .

In these notes, we study the relation between coarse embedding (resp. coarse equivalences) and uniform embeddings (resp. uniform homeomorphisms) between Banach spaces as well as some properties shared by those notions. We now describe the organization and results of this paper.

In Section 2, we give all the notation and background necessary for these notes. In Section 3 and Section 4, we apply the methods of [Ri] in order to give a partial answer to Problem 1.1. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Banach space and Y be a minimal Banach space.*

- (i) *If X uniformly embeds into Y , then X simultaneously coarsely and uniformly embeds into Y .*
- (ii) *If X coarsely embeds into Y , then X simultaneously coarsely and homeomorphically embeds into Y by a map with uniformly continuous inverse.*

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 can be seen as a strengthening of Rosendal's result about uniform embeddings into ℓ_p mentioned above, which allows us to obtain some new examples (see Corollary 3.2, and Corollary 4.3 below).

In [Os], M. Ostrovskii had shown that ℓ_2 coarsely embeds into any Banach space containing a subspace with an unconditional basis, and finite cotype. We prove the following stronger result in Section 3.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space with an unconditional basis and finite cotype. Then ℓ_2 simultaneously coarsely and uniformly embeds into X .*

In order to prove the second item of Theorem 1.2, we study coarse embeddings from metric spaces into normed spaces, and show that whenever such embedding exists, there exists also a locally Lipschitz coarse embedding (see Proposition 4.1). In particular, as a subproduct of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a 1-unconditional basic sequence. If X coarsely embeds into Y , then there exists a continuous coarse embedding $X \rightarrow (\oplus Y)_{\mathcal{E}}$ with uniformly continuous inverse. In particular, X simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely embeds into $(\oplus Y)_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

In Section 5, we look at Kalton's example of separable Banach spaces which are coarsely equivalent but are not uniformly homeomorphic, and show that we can actually get a stronger result. Precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map. If Q admits a coarse section, then Q admits a continuous coarse section. In particular, Y is simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent to $\text{Ker}(Q) \oplus X$.*

Corollary 1.6. *There exist separable Banach spaces X and Y which are simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent but not uniformly homeomorphic.*

At last, we dedicate Section 6 to study unconditional sums of coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic) Banach spaces. In [Ka4], Theorem 4.6(ii), Kalton had shown that if X and Y are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic), then $\ell_p(X)$ and $\ell_p(Y)$ are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic). However, as Kalton himself noticed, his prove seems to be more complicated

than necessary, and relies on the concepts of close (resp. uniformly close) Banach spaces. In Section 6, we present an easy argument which give us Kalton's result as a corollary.

Theorem 1.7. *Say X and Y are two coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic, or simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent) Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a normalized 1-unconditional basic sequence. Then $(\oplus X)_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\oplus Y)_{\mathcal{E}}$ are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic, or simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent).*

For a slightly stronger result, see Theorem 6.1 below.

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION.

2.1. Banach space theory. If (M, d) is a metric space, $x \in M$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by $B(x, \varepsilon)$ the open ball of radius ε centered at x . Let X be a Banach space. We denote the closed unit ball of X by B_X , and its unit sphere by ∂B_X . If Y is also a Banach space, we write $X \cong Y$ if X is linearly isomorphic to Y , and we write $X \equiv Y$ if X is linearly isometric to Y . Given a Banach space X with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, we simply write $\|\cdot\|$ as long as it is clear from the context to which space the element inside the norm belongs to.

Let $(X_n)_n$ be a sequence of Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{E} = (e_n)_n$ be a 1-unconditional basic sequence. We define the sum $(\oplus_n X_n)_{\mathcal{E}}$ to be the space of sequences $(x_n)_n$, where $x_n \in X_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|(x_n)_n\| := \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| e_n \right\| < \infty.$$

One can check that $(\oplus_n X_n)_{\mathcal{E}}$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined above is a Banach space. If the X_n 's are all the same, say $X_n = X$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $(\oplus X)_{\mathcal{E}}$. Whenever $\mathcal{E}$ is the standard basis of ℓ_p (resp. c_0), for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, we write $(\oplus_n X_n)_{\ell_p}$ (resp. $(\oplus_n X_n)_{c_0}$) instead.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A linear map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ is called a *quotient map* if it is bounded and surjective. By the open mapping theorem, quotient maps are always open. An infinite dimensional Banach space X is called *minimal* if X isomorphically embeds into all of its infinite dimensional subspaces.

2.2. Embeddings. Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be metric spaces, and consider a map $f : (M_1, d_1) \rightarrow (M_2, d_2)$. We define the modulus of expansion and compression of f as follows. For each $t \geq 0$, let

$$\omega_f(t) = \sup\{d_2(f(x), f(y)) \mid d_1(x, y) \leq t\},$$

and

$$\rho_f(t) = \inf\{d_2(f(x), f(y)) \mid d_1(x, y) \geq t\}.$$

Hence, $\rho_f(d_1(x, y)) \leq d_2(f(x), f(y)) \leq \omega_f(d_1(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in M_1$. The maps $\omega_f, \rho_f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ are called *expansion modulus* and *compression modulus* of f , respectively.

The map f is called *coarse* if $\omega_f(t) < \infty$, for all $t \geq 0$, and *expanding* if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_f(t) = \infty$. If f is both expanding and coarse, f is called a *coarse embedding*. A map $f : (M_1, d_1) \rightarrow (M_2, d_2)$ is called *cobounded* if $\sup_{y \in M_2} d_2(y, f(M_1)) < \infty$. A coarse embedding which is also cobounded is called a *coarse equivalence*, and the spaces are called *coarsely equivalent*.

Remark 2.1. A coarse function does not need to be continuous, and a coarse equivalence does not need to be either injective or surjective. However, if there exists a coarse equivalence $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, then there exists a coarse equivalence $g : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{x \in M_1} d_1(x, g(f(x))) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{y \in M_2} d_2(y, f(g(y))) < \infty.$$

Indeed, as f is a coarse equivalence, let $\varepsilon = \sup_{y \in M_2} d_2(y, f(M_1)) < \infty$, and let us define $g : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ as follows. For each $y \in M_2$, pick $x_y \in M_1$ such that $d_2(y, f(x_y)) \leq 2\varepsilon$, and set $g(y) = x_y$. It is each to check that g is a coarse equivalence and that (2.1) holds. A coarse map $g : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ satisfying (2.1) is called a *coarse inverse* of f . In fact, a map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a coarse equivalence if and only if f has a coarse inverse.

The map f is uniformly continuous if and only if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega_f(t) = 0$. The inverse $f^{-1} : f(M_1) \rightarrow M_1$ exists and is uniformly continuous if and only if $\rho_f(t) > 0$, for all $t > 0$. The map f is called a *uniform embedding* if both f and $f|_{f(M_1)}^{-1}$ are uniformly continuous. If f is simultaneously a coarse embedding and a uniform embedding, then we call f a *strong embedding*.

We call f *Lipschitz* if there exists some $L \geq 0$ such that $\omega_f(t) \leq Lt$, for all $t \geq 0$, and we call f *L-Lipschitz* if we want to specify the constant L . It is easy to see that, if there exists $L > 0$ such that $\rho_f(t) \geq Lt$, then $f^{-1} : f(M_1) \rightarrow M_1$ exists and it is Lipschitz. If f and $f|_{f(M_1)}^{-1}$ are Lipschitz, then f is a *Lipschitz embedding*. A surjective Lipschitz embedding is called a *Lipschitz isomorphism*, and the spaces are called *Lipschitz isomorphic*.

Let $a, b > 0$. A subset N of a metric space (M, d) is called an (a, b) -*net* if $d(x, N) < b$, for all $x \in M$, and $d(x, y) \geq a$, for all $x, y \in N$, with $x \neq y$. A subset N is called a *net* if it is an (a, b) -net for some $0 < a \leq b$. It is worth noticing that two metric spaces are coarsely equivalent if and only if they have Lipschitz isomorphic nets.

The map f is called *coarse Lipschitz* if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that $\omega_f(t) \leq Lt + L$. If f is coarse Lipschitz and there exists $L > 0$ such that $\rho_f(t) \geq Lt - L$, then f is called a *coarse Lipschitz embedding*. If f is cobounded and coarse Lipschitz, then f is a *coarse Lipschitz equivalence*. Sometimes it will be necessary to keep track of the constants above. Therefore, if $\omega_f(t) \leq Lt + \varepsilon$, for some $L, \varepsilon \geq 0$, we say that f is of *cL-type* (L, ε) .

We finish this subsection with two propositions which are important for the understanding of the different notions of embeddings and equivalences above. See [Ka], Lemma 1.4 and Proposition 1.5.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space, M be a metric space, and consider a map $f : X \rightarrow M$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) f is a coarse map, and

(ii) f is a coarse Lipschitz map.

In particular, if f is uniformly continuous, then f is both coarse and coarse Lipschitz.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X and Y be infinite dimensional Banach spaces. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) X is coarsely equivalent to Y ,
- (ii) X is coarse Lipschitz equivalent to Y , and
- (iii) any net of X is Lipschitz isomorphic to any net of Y .

Moreover, all the conditions above hold if

- (iv) X is uniformly homeomorphic to Y .

Remark 2.4. The terminologies above are still not completely established in the literature. For example, in [Ro] coarse maps are called “bornologous”, and in [Ka3], the author refers to coarse maps as “coarsely continuous”. As coarse maps are not continuous, and as we are interested in studying coarse maps which are also continuous, we prefer a different terminology. Also, we should mention that, in geometric group theory, coarse Lipschitz embeddings as usually called “quasi-isometries”.

2.3. Space of positively homogeneous maps. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(X, Y)$ the set consisting of all maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which are bounded on B_X and positively homogeneous, i.e.,

$$f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x), \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geq 0.$$

We define a norm on $\mathcal{H}(X, Y)$ by setting $\|f\| = \sup\{\|f(x)\| \mid x \in B_X\}$. The space $\mathcal{H}(X, Y)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ above is a Banach space. Clearly, $\|f(x)\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x\|$, for all $x \in X$. Denote by $\mathcal{HC}(X, Y)$ the subset of $\mathcal{H}(X, Y)$ consisting of continuous maps.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\|f\|_\varepsilon$ as the infimum of all $L > 0$ such that $L \geq \|f\|$ and

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq L \max\{\|x - y\|, \varepsilon\|x\|, \varepsilon\|y\|\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Clearly, we have

$$\|f\| \leq \|f\|_\varepsilon \leq \max\{1, 2\varepsilon^{-1}\}\|f\|,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y)$.

The next proposition is a simple computation, and it can be found in [Ka3], Proposition 7.3.

Proposition 2.5. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and $\varphi : \partial B_X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded map. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be given by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 0, \\ \|x\|\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), & x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y)$. If φ is also continuous, then $f \in \mathcal{HC}(X, Y)$.

Moreover, let $L \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $K \geq 0$. If φ is of cL -type (L, ε) , and $\|\varphi(x)\| \leq K$, for all $x \in \partial B_X$, then $\|f\|_\varepsilon \leq 2K + 4L$.

3. STRONG EMBEDDINGS INTO BANACH SPACES.

In this section, we show that if X uniformly embeds into a minimal Banach space Y , then X simultaneously coarsely and uniformly embeds into Y . For that, we will need the following lemma of [Ro].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose X and E are Banach spaces and $P_n : E \rightarrow E$ is a sequence of bounded projections onto subspaces $E_n \subset E$ so that $E_m \subset \text{Ker}(P_n)$, for all $m \neq n$. Assume also that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a uniform embedding $\sigma_n : X \rightarrow E_n$. Then X admits a strong embedding into E .*

Proof Theorem 1.2(i). Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a uniform embedding. As Y is minimal, by Gowers' dichotomy, Y must contain either a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space or an unconditional basic sequence (see [G], Theorem 2). As a hereditarily indecomposable Banach space is not isomorphic to any of its proper subspaces (see [G], Theorem 4), Y must contain an unconditional basic sequence, say $(e_n)_n$. Let $(A_n)_n$ be a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into infinite subsets, and set $E = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $E_n = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j \mid j \in A_n\}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As Y is minimal, there exists a sequence of isomorphic embeddings $T_n : Y \rightarrow E_n$. So, $T_n \circ \varphi$ is a uniform embedding of X into E_n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_n : E \rightarrow E_n$ denote the natural projection. We can now apply Lemma 3.1, so, X strongly embeds into Y . $\square$

Theorem 1.2(i) allows us to obtain some new examples. Let T denote the Tsirelson space introduced by T. Figiel and W. Johnson, and let S denote the Schlumprecht space. It is well known that both T^* and S are minimal Banach spaces (see [CSh], Theorem VI.a.1, and [AnS], Theorem 2.1, respectively). The following corollary is a trivial consequence of Theorem 1.2(i).

Corollary 3.2. *If a Banach space X uniformly embeds into T^* (resp. S), then X strongly embeds into T^* (resp. S).*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Corollary 3.3 of [AhMMi], there exists a uniform embedding $f : \ell_2 \rightarrow B_{\ell_2}$. Let $(e_n)_n$ be an unconditional basis for X . Let $(A_n)_n$ be a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into infinite subsets. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_n = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j \mid j \in A_n\}$. By Theorem 2.1 of [OS], there exists an uniform homeomorphism $\sigma_n : B_{\ell_2} \rightarrow X_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.1, we are done. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be an infinite dimensional space with an unconditional basis and finite cotype. Then L_p strongly embeds into X , for all $p \in [1, 2]$. In particular, ℓ_p strongly embeds into X , for all $p \in [1, 2]$.*

Proof. This is a simple consequence of the fact that L_p strongly embeds into $L_2 \equiv \ell_2$, for all $p \in [1, 2]$ (see Proposition 5.2.(iii), of [AB]). $\square$

We finish this section with the following natural question.

Problem 3.4. Does ℓ_2 strongly embed into any infinite dimensional Banach space?

4. SIMULTANEOUSLY HOMEOMORPHIC AND COARSE EMBEDDINGS.

In this section, we show that if a Banach space X coarsely embeds into a minimal Banach space Y , then X simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely embeds into Y . In order to show that, we show that there is no loss of generality in assuming that a Banach space X coarsely embeds into another Banach space Y by a continuous map, given that X coarsely embeds into Y . Also, given the same assumption, there exists a map $X \rightarrow (\oplus Y)_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is any 1-unconditional basic sequence, which is simultaneously a homeomorphic and coarse embedding.

Let (M_1, d_1) and (M_2, d_2) be metric spaces. We call a map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ *locally Lipschitz* if for each $x \in M_1$, there exists a neighborhood of x in which f is Lipschitz.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let Y be a normed space. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse map. Then, for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a locally Lipschitz map $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in X} \|\varphi(x) - \Phi(x)\| \leq \inf_{s > 0} \omega_{\varphi}(s) + \delta.$$

In particular, if X coarsely embeds into Y , then X coarsely embeds into Y by a continuous map.

Proof. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse map, and fix $\delta > 0$. Pick $\gamma > 0$ such that $\omega_{\varphi}(\gamma) \leq \inf_{s > 0} \omega_{\varphi}(s) + \delta$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ be an open covering of X such that $\text{diam}(U_j) < \gamma$, for all $j \in J$. As any metric space is paracompact (see [Mu], Theorem 41.4), $\mathcal{U}$ has a refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ which is an open covering of X and locally finite. For each $i \in I$, let $\psi_i(x) = d(x, V_i^c)$. Hence, each ψ_i is a 1-Lipschitz map. For each $i \in I$, pick $x_i \in V_i$. So, $V_i \subset B(x_i, \gamma)$.

Let $\Psi = \sum_{i \in I} \psi_i$, and define

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\psi_i(x)}{\Psi(x)} \varphi(x_i),$$

for every $x \in X$. Clearly, as $\mathcal{V}$ is locally finite, Φ is continuous.

Let us verify that Φ is locally Lipschitz. Let $z \in X$. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(z, \varepsilon)$ intersects only finitely many elements of $\mathcal{V}$, say $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $z \in V_{i_1}$, and that $B(z, 2\varepsilon) \subset V_{i_1}$. So $\Psi(x) \geq \varepsilon$, for all $x \in B(z, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, as $|\psi_i(x)| \leq \gamma$, for all $x \in X$, and all $i \in I$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\psi_i(x)}{\Psi(x)} - \frac{\psi_i(y)}{\Psi(y)} \right| &\leq \frac{|\psi_i(x) - \psi_i(y)|}{\Psi(x)} + \frac{|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)|}{\Psi(x)\Psi(y)} \psi_i(y) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{k\gamma}{\varepsilon^2} \right) d(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in B(z, \varepsilon)$. Hence, letting $M = \max\{\|\varphi(x_{i_l})\| \mid 1 \leq l \leq k\}$, we have

$$\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\| \leq M \left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon} + \frac{k^2\gamma}{\varepsilon^2} \right) d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in B(z, \varepsilon)$.

Let us show that Φ is close to φ . Let $x \in X$. There are only finitely many $i \in I$ such that $\psi_i(x) > 0$, say $i_1, \dots, i_k$. As $\psi_i(x) > 0$ implies $d(x, x_{i_i}) \leq \gamma$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x) - \Phi(x)\| &= \left\| \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\psi_{i_l}(x)}{\Psi(x)} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_{i_l})) \right\| \leq \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\psi_{i_l}(x)}{\Psi(x)} \omega_\varphi(d(x, x_{i_l})) \\ &\leq \omega_\varphi(\gamma) \leq \inf_{s>0} \omega_\varphi(s) + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

So, we are done. $\square$

The following lemma is an application of the methods of [Ro] to our specific setting.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose X and E are Banach spaces and $P_n : E \rightarrow E$ is a sequence of bounded projections onto subspaces $E_n \subset E$ so that $E_m \subset \text{Ker}(P_n)$, for all $m \neq n$. Assume also that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a coarse embedding $\sigma_n : X \rightarrow E_n$ which is also continuous. Then X homeomorphically coarsely embeds into E by a map with uniformly continuous inverse.*

Proof. Let us define a continuous coarse map $\psi : X \rightarrow \text{Ker}(P_1)$ such that ψ^{-1} exists and it is uniformly continuous. Then, by setting $\Psi : X \rightarrow E$ as $\Psi(x) = \sigma_1(x) + \psi(x)$, for all $x \in X$, we have that Ψ is a continuous coarse embedding with uniformly continuous inverse. Indeed, continuity of Ψ is clear. As $\|\sigma_1(x) - \sigma_1(y)\| = \|P_1(\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))\| \leq \|P_1\| \cdot \|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)\|$, for all $x, y \in X$, it follows that Ψ is expanding. As $\|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| = \|(\text{Id} - P_1)(\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))\| \leq \|\text{Id} - P_1\| \cdot \|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)\|$, for all $x, y \in X$, it follows that Ψ has uniformly continuous inverse.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma_n(0) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As each σ_n is a coarse embedding, there exist sequences (L_n) and (Δ_n) of positive numbers such that $\omega_{\sigma_n}(t) \leq L_n t + L_n$ and $\rho_{\sigma_n}(\Delta_n) > 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $t \in [0, \infty)$. We can assume that $\Delta_n \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\psi_n : X \rightarrow E_n$ be given by

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{\sigma_n(n\Delta_n x)}{n\Delta_n L_n 2^n},$$

and let $\psi(x) = \sum_{n>1} \psi_n(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Clearly, $\psi_n(0) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\psi(0) = 0$.

Claim: ψ is well defined, coarse, and ψ^{-1} is uniformly continuous.

For all $x, y \in X$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $x_0, \dots, x_n \in X$, such that $x_0 = n\Delta_n x$, $x_n = n\Delta_n y$, and $\|x_{j-1} - x_j\| = \Delta_n \|x - y\|$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. So, by the triangle inequality,

$$\|\sigma_n(n\Delta_n x) - \sigma_n(n\Delta_n y)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|\sigma_n(x_{j-1}) - \sigma_n(x_j)\| \leq n \cdot \omega_{\sigma_n}(\Delta_n \|x - y\|).$$

Hence, as $\Delta_n \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{n=l}^m \psi_n(x) - \sum_{n=l}^m \psi_n(y) \right\| &\leq \sum_{n=l}^m \frac{\|\sigma_n(n\Delta_n x) - \sigma_n(n\Delta_n y)\|}{n\Delta_n L_n 2^n} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=l}^m \frac{\omega_{\sigma_n}(\Delta_n \|x - y\|)}{\Delta_n L_n 2^n} \leq \frac{\|x - y\| + 1}{2^{l-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, as $\psi_n(0) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\|\sum_{n=l}^m \psi_n(x)\| \leq (\|x\| + 1)/2^{l-1}$, for all $x \in X$, and all $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $l \leq m$. Hence, ψ is well defined. Similarly, the argument above gives us that $\omega_\psi(t) \leq t + 1$, for all $t > 0$, so ψ is coarse.

Let $x \in X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choosing $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/2^N < \varepsilon/2$, we have that, for all $y \in X$, with $\|x - y\| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| &\leq \sum_{n \leq N} \|\psi_n(x) - \psi_n(y)\| + \sum_{n > N} \frac{\|x - y\| + 1}{2^n} \\
&\leq \sum_{n \leq N} \|\psi_n(x) - \psi_n(y)\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of each ψ_n , there exists $\delta \leq (0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{n \leq N} \|\psi_n(x) - \psi_n(y)\| < \varepsilon/2$, whenever $\|x - y\| < \delta$. Then, $\|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| < \varepsilon$, if $\|x - y\| < \delta$. So ψ is continuous.

Let us show that ψ^{-1} exists and it is uniformly continuous. For this, we only need to show that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|x - y\| > \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| > \delta.$$

As $\rho_{\sigma_n}(\Delta_n) > 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $x, y \in X$ and $\|x - y\| > 1$, then $\|\sigma_n(\Delta_n x) - \sigma_n(\Delta_n y)\| > 1$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n < \varepsilon$. Then, if $\|x - y\| > \varepsilon$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| &\geq \frac{\|\sigma_n(n\Delta_n x) - \sigma_n(n\Delta_n y)\|}{\|P_n\| n\Delta_n L_n 2^n} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\|P_n\| n\Delta_n L_n 2^n},
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, ψ^{-1} is uniformly continuous, and we are done. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2(ii). Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse embedding. By Proposition 4.1, we can assume that φ is also continuous. As in the proof of item (i) of Theorem 1.2, Y contains an unconditional basic sequence $(e_n)_n$. Let $(A_n)_n$ be a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into infinite subsets, and set $E = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $E_n = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j \mid j \in A_n\}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As Y is minimal, there exists a sequence of isomorphic embeddings $T_n : Y \rightarrow E_n$. So, $T_n \circ \varphi$ is a continuous coarse embedding of X into E_n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_n : E \rightarrow E_n$ denote the natural projection. We can now apply Lemma 4.2, so, X simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely embeds into Y by a map with uniformly continuous inverse. $\square$

The following corollary is a trivial consequence of Theorem 1.2(ii).

Corollary 4.3. *If a Banach space X coarsely embeds into T^* (resp. S), then X simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely embeds into T^* (resp. S) by a map with uniformly continuous inverse.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If X coarsely embeds into Y , by Proposition 4.1, X coarsely embeds into Y by a continuous map. Let $E = (\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$, and $E_n = \{(x_n)_n \in E \mid \forall j \neq n, x_j = 0\}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, X homeomorphically coarsely embeds into Y by a map with uniformly continuous inverse. $\square$

The following simpler version of Problem 1.1 could be slightly easier to be proven, and it would be a significant advance on this problem.

Problem 4.4. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and assume that X coarsely embeds into Y . Does B_X uniformly embed into Y ? What if Y is minimal?

It is worth noticing that one cannot hope that X coarsely embeds into Y if and only if B_X uniformly embeds into Y (even if we restrict ourselves to minimal spaces Y). Indeed, it is well known that all the ℓ_p 's have uniformly homeomorphic balls (for example, see [OS]), but ℓ_p does not coarsely embed into ℓ_2 for any $p > 2$ (see [JR]).

5. SIMULTANEOUSLY HOMEOMORPHIC AND COARSE EQUIVALENT SPACES.

In [Ka3], Kalton proved (Theorem 8.9) that the concepts of coarse equivalence and uniform homeomorphism are actually distinct concepts, i.e., Kalton presented two Banach spaces X and Y which are coarsely equivalent but not uniformly homeomorphic. However, the coarse equivalence presented in [Ka3] only preserves the large scale geometries of X and Y and does not need to be a homeomorphism. In this section, we show that Kalton's example is actually an example of Banach spaces which are simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent, but not uniformly homeomorphic.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map. If $A \subset X$, we say that $f : A \rightarrow Y$ is a *section of Q* if $Q \circ f = \text{Id}_A$. Kalton's argument is based on the construction of a quotient map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ for which a coarse section $X \rightarrow Y$ exists, but X does not coarsely Lipschitz embed into Y by map which is also uniformly continuous (see [Ka3], Theorem 8.8). In particular, Q has no uniformly continuous section $X \rightarrow Y$. In this section, we show that if a quotient map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ admits a coarse section, then it admits a continuous coarse section. As a corollary, we get the strengthening of Kalton's result mentioned above.

The proof of the following lemma uses ideas in the proof of Proposition 6.5 of [Ka3].

Lemma 5.1. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map. Assume that there exists a coarse section $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$. Then, there exists $L > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous section $\psi : \partial B_X \rightarrow Y$ of cL-type (L, ε) .*

Proof. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse section. So, there exists $L > 1$ such that $\omega_\varphi(t) \leq Lt + L$, for all $t > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and let us show that the required continuous section ψ of cL-type (L, ε) exists.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(nx)/n$. So, each φ_n is a coarse section, and $\omega_{\varphi_n}(t) \leq Lt + Ln^{-1}$, for all $t > 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Phi_n : X \rightarrow Y$ be the continuous map given by Proposition 4.1 applied to φ_n and L/n . Therefore, we have that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \|\varphi_n(x) - \Phi_n(x)\| \leq \frac{2L}{n},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\omega_{\Phi_n}(t) \leq Lt + 5L/n$, and

$$\|x - Q(\Phi_n(x))\| \leq \|x - Q(\varphi_n(x))\| + \|Q(\varphi_n(x)) - Q(\Phi_n(x))\| \leq \frac{2L\|Q\|}{n}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $x \in X$. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ be such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{8L}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that $2L\|Q\|/n < \lambda$, and $5L/n < \varepsilon/2$.

Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be given by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \frac{\|x\|}{2} \left(\Phi_n\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) - \Phi_n\left(-\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right), & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then h is continuous, positively homogeneous, and bounded on bounded sets. Also, it is clear that $\|x - Q(h(x))\| \leq \lambda\|x\|$, for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x) = x - Q(h(x))$. Then, as g is positively homogeneous, we have that $\|g^n(x)\| \leq \lambda^n\|x\|$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $x \in X$. Set $g^0(x) = x$ and let

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(g^n(x)),$$

for all $x \in \partial B_X$.

As h is positively homogeneous, the series above converges uniformly on bounded sets, so ψ is well defined and continuous. Also, as $g^n(x) - Q(h(g^n(x))) = g^{n+1}(x)$, we have that

$$Q(\psi(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (g^n(x) - g^{n+1}(x)) = x,$$

for all $x \in X$. So $\psi : \partial B_X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous section of Q .

It remains to notice that ψ is of cL-type (L, ε) . Notice that, as $5L/n < \varepsilon/2$, we have that $\sup_{x \in B_X} \|h(x)\| < 2L$ and $\omega_{h|_{B_X}}(t) \leq Lt + \varepsilon/2$, for all $t > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(x) - \psi(y)\| &\leq \|h(x) - h(y)\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h(g^n(x))\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h(g^n(y))\| \\ &\leq L\|x - y\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2 \cdot \sup_{x \in B_X} \|h(x)\| \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \\ &\leq L\|x - y\| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \partial B_X$. So, ψ is of cL-type (L, ε) , and we are done. $\square$

The next technical lemma is the continuous version of Lemma 7.4 of [Ka3], and it will play a fundamental role in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and consider a map $t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto f_t \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y)$ with the property that, for some $K > 0$,*

$$\|f_t\|_{e^{-2t}} \leq K, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_t - f_s\| \leq K|t - s|, \quad \forall t, s \geq 0.$$

Define $F : X \rightarrow Y$ as

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} f_0(x), & \|x\| \leq 1, \\ f_{\ln \|x\|}(x), & \|x\| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then F is coarse. Moreover, if $f_t \in \mathcal{HC}(X, Y)$, for all $t \geq 0$, then F is continuous.

In Lemma 7.4 of [Ka3], the author shows that the map F above is coarse, and, under the assumption that f_t is *uniformly* continuous, for all $t \geq 0$, the author shows that F is also uniformly continuous. Therefore, we only present the proof that F is continuous if each f_t is so.

Sketch of the proof. For convenience, let $f_t = f_0$, if $t < 0$. In the proof of Lemma 7.4 of [Ka3], Kalton shows that

$$(5.1) \quad \|F(x) - F(z)\| \leq 3K\|x - z\| + 2K \min\{\|x\|, \|x\|^{-1}\} + 2K \min\{\|z\|, \|z\|^{-1}\},$$

for all $x, z \in X$. In particular, $\omega_F(t) \leq 3Kt + 4K$, so F is coarse.

Let us show that F is continuous if each $f_t \in \mathcal{HC}(X, Y)$, and the map $t \mapsto f_t$ is continuous. Note that, as $F(x) = f_0(x)$ if $\|x\| \in [0, 1)$, F is continuous at x if $\|x\| \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, we only need to show that F is continuous at x if $\|x\| \geq 1$.

Let $x \in X$, with $\|x\| \geq 1$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $\delta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $K\delta_0 < \varepsilon/6$, and $a > 1$ such that $4K/a < \varepsilon/2$. If $\|x\| > a$, pick $\delta_1 \in (0, \min\{\delta_0, \|x\| - a\})$. By Equation 5.1, if $\|x - z\| < \delta_1$, we have

$$\|F(x) - F(z)\| \leq 3K\delta_1 + 4Ka^{-1} < \varepsilon.$$

Say $\|x\| \leq a$. Let $b = \ln(a + 1)$. Pick $N > b$ such that $Kb/N < \varepsilon/(3e^b)$. Then $|s - t| \leq b/N$ implies $\|f_s - f_t\| < \varepsilon/(3e^b)$.

By the continuity of each f_t , there exists $\delta_2 \in (0, \min\{b/N, \delta_1\})$ such that $\|x - z\| < \delta_2$ implies

$$\|f_{kb/N}(x) - f_{kb/N}(z)\| < \varepsilon/3, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq N.$$

As $\|x\| \in [1, a]$, if $z \in X$ is such that $\|x - z\| < \delta_2$, we have that $\ln \|x\|, \max\{\ln \|z\|, 0\} \in [0, b]$. Therefore, there exists $k \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\left| \ln \|x\| - \frac{kb}{N} \right|, \left| \max\{\ln \|z\|, 0\} - \frac{kb}{N} \right| \leq \frac{b}{N}.$$

If $\|x - z\| < \delta_2$, then $\|x\|/e^b, \|z\|/e^b \leq 1$. Hence, if $\|x - z\| < \delta_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F(x) - F(z)\| &\leq \|F(x) - f_{kb/N}(x)\| + \|f_{kb/N}(x) - f_{kb/N}(z)\| \\
&\quad + \|f_{kb/N}(z) - F(z)\| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon\|x\|}{3e^b} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon\|z\|}{3e^b} \leq \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

So, F is continuous at x . $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map. Assume that there exists a constant $L > 1$, a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ of positive real numbers converging to zero, and a sequence of continuous sections $\varphi_n : \partial B_X \rightarrow Y$ such that φ_n is of CL -type (L, ε_n) , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Q has a continuous coarse section.*

Proof. With out loss of generality, we may assume that $\varepsilon_n < e^{-2n-2}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\psi_n(x) = 1/2(\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(-x))$, for all $x \in \partial B_X$. So, each ψ_n is a continuous section of Q of cL -type (L, ε_n) and $\|\psi_n(x)\| \leq 2L$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $x \in \partial B_X$.

By Proposition 2.5, we can extend each ψ_n to an $f_n \in \mathcal{HC}(X, Y)$ so that f_n is a section of Q , and $\|f_n\|_{e^{-2n-2}} \leq 8L$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $t \geq 0$, we define $f_t : X \rightarrow Y$ as follows. If $t \in [n, n+1]$, let

$$f_t(x) = (n+1-t)f_n(x) + (t-n)f_{n+1}(x).$$

Clearly $t \mapsto f_t$ is continuous. Indeed, $\|f_t - f_s\| \leq 4L|t-s|$, for all $t, s \in [n, n+1]$.

Notice that $\|f_t\|_{e^{-2t}} \leq 8L$, for all $t \geq 0$. Let F be the map obtained by Lemma 5.2 for the maps $(f_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Then F is a continuous coarse section of Q . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. If the quotient map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ has a coarse section $X \rightarrow Y$, it follows from Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.3 that Q has a continuous coarse section. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be such section. Then, the map $y \mapsto (y - \varphi(Q(y)), Q(y))$ is both a homeomorphism and a coarse equivalence between Y and $\text{Ker}(Q) \oplus X$ with inverse $(x, z) \mapsto x + \varphi(z)$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By Proposition 8.4 and Theorem 8.8 of [Ka3], there exist separable Banach spaces X and Y , and a quotient map $Q : Y \rightarrow X$ which admits a coarse section $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, but X does not coarse Lipschitz embed into Y by a uniformly continuous map. Hence, Y and $\text{Ker}(Q) \oplus X$ are not uniformly homeomorphic. By Theorem 1.5, Y and $\text{Ker}(Q) \oplus X$ are simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent. $\square$

This raises the question of when two Banach spaces are simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent. It is well known that any two Banach spaces with the same density character are homeomorphic (see [K], and [T]). But what about if X and Y are coarsely equivalent? Can we get both coarse equivalence and topological equivalence at the same time?

Problem 5.4. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and assume that X and Y are coarsely equivalent. Are X and Y simultaneously coarsely and homeomorphically equivalent?

It is worth noticing that, for separable spaces, the existence of a coarse equivalence easily implies the existence of a measurable coarse equivalence.

Proposition 5.5. *Let X and Y be separable Banach spaces, and assume that X is coarsely equivalent to Y . Then, there exists a coarse Borel bijection $X \rightarrow Y$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_n$ and $\{y_n\}_n$ be nets in X and Y such that $x_n \mapsto y_n$ defines a Lipschitz isomorphism. Let $a, b, c, d > 0$ be such that $\{x_n\}_n$ is an (a, b) -net, and $\{y_n\}_n$ is a (c, d) -net. Let $A_1 = B(x_1, b) \setminus \cup_{i>1} B(x_i, a/2)$, and

$$A_n = B(x_n, b) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i<n} A_i \cup \bigcup_{i>n} B(x_i, a/2) \right),$$

for all $n > 1$. We define a sequence of subsets $(C_n)_n$ of Y analogously. It is clear that $X = \sqcup_n A_n$, $Y = \sqcup_n C_n$, that A_n and C_n are Borel, and that A_n and C_n are Borel isomorphic, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f_n : A_n \rightarrow C_n$ be Borel isomorphisms. Define a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ by setting $\varphi(x) = f_n(x)$, if $x \in A_n$. It should be clear that φ is a coarse Borel bijection. $\square$

6. UNCONDITIONAL SUMS OF COARSE AND UNIFORM EQUIVALENCES.

In [Ka4], Kalton proved (Theorem 4.6(ii)) that if X and Y are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic), then $\ell_p(X)$ and $\ell_p(Y)$ are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic). However, as Kalton pointed out, his proof seems to be much more complicated than necessary, and it relies on results about close (resp. uniformly close) Banach spaces. In this section, we give a direct proof for a general theorem (see Theorem 6.1 below) which gives us Kalton's result as a corollary.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse equivalence (resp. uniform homeomorphism). Assume $\varphi(0) = 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_n(\cdot) = 2^{-n}\varphi(2^n \cdot)$. Define $\Phi : (\oplus X)_\mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\oplus Y)_\mathcal{E}$ by letting $\Phi(x) = (\varphi_n(x_n))_n$, for all $x = (x_n) \in (\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$.

Claim: Φ is well defined and coarse (resp. uniformly continuous).

Let $L > 0$, be such that $\omega_\varphi(t) < Lt + L$, for all $t > 0$. So, $\omega_{\varphi_n}(t) < Lt + L2^{-n}$, for all $t > 0$. Let us first notice that Φ is well defined. Let $x = (x_n)_n \in (\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\|\sum_{n>N} \|x_n\| e_n\| < \varepsilon/2L$, and $\sum_{n>N} 2^{-n} < \varepsilon/2L$. Then, for $k > l > N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=l}^k \|\varphi_n(x_n)\| e_n \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=l}^k \left(L\|x_n\| + \frac{L}{2^n} \right) e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=l}^k L\|x_n\| e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=l}^k \frac{L}{2^n} e_n \right\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_n(x)$ converges for every x , so Φ is well defined.

Say $x, y \in (\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\| &= \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_n(x_n) - \varphi_n(y_n)\| e_n \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L \|x_n - y_n\| e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{L}{2^n} e_n \right\| \leq L \|x - y\| + L.
\end{aligned}$$

So Φ is coarse.

Assume φ is uniformly continuous, let us show that Φ is also uniformly continuous. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n > N} 2^{-n} < \varepsilon/3L$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < \varepsilon/3L$, and $\|\varphi_n(x_n) - \varphi_n(y_n)\| < \varepsilon/3N$, for all $n < N$, and all $x_n, y_n \in X$ such that $\|x_n - y_n\| < \delta$. Then, if $\|x - y\| < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \leq N} \|\varphi_n(x_n) - \varphi_n(y_n)\| e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n > N} L \|x_n - y_n\| e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{L}{2^n} e_n \right\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that Φ is uniformly continuous.

Say φ is a uniform homeomorphism. Notice that $\varphi_n^{-1}(\cdot) = 2^{-n} \varphi^{-1}(2^n \cdot)$, therefore, $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot) = (\varphi_n^{-1}(\cdot))_n$, and, by the same arguments as above, Φ^{-1} is uniformly continuous. Hence, $(\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$ and $(\oplus Y)_\mathcal{E}$ are uniformly homeomorphic.

If φ is a coarse equivalence, let $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a coarse inverse for φ (see Subsection 2.2, Remark 2.1). Let $\psi_n(\cdot) = 2^{-n} \psi(2^n \cdot)$, and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_n$. Then, by the same arguments above, Ψ is coarse. One can easily see that Φ and Ψ are coarse inverses of each other, so we are done.

The case of simultaneous homeomorphic and coarse equivalences follows analogously. $\square$

The proof above actually gives us the following slightly stronger result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(X_n)_n$ and $(Y_n)_n$ be sequences of Banach spaces, and let $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ be a coarse equivalence (resp. uniform homeomorphism, or simultaneously homeomorphic and coarse equivalence), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a normalized 1-unconditional basic sequence. Assume that*

$$\sup_n \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_{\varphi_n}(t)}{t} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_n \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_{\varphi_n}(t)}{t} > 0.$$

Then $(\oplus_n X_n)_\mathcal{E}$ and $(\oplus_n Y_n)_\mathcal{E}$ are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic, or simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent).

Proof. Let us work with the uniform homeomorphism case. Let $L > 0$ be large enough so that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\varphi_n}(t)/t < L$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varphi_n}(t)/t > 1/L$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $t_n > 0$ such that $\omega_{\varphi_n}(t) < Lt$, and $\rho_{\varphi_n}(t) > t/L$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $t \geq t_n$. Then,

$$\omega_{\varphi_n}(t) < Lt + Lt_n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\varphi_n}(t) > \frac{1}{L}t - \frac{1}{L}t_n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $t > 0$. Hence, it is easy to check that $\omega_{\varphi_n^{-1}}(t) < Lt + t_n$, for all n , and all $t > 0$. Setting

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n t_n} \varphi_n(2^n t_n x),$$

we have that each $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ is a uniform homeomorphism between X_n and Y_n , and that

$$\omega_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}(t) < Lt + \frac{L}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{\tilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}}(t) < Lt + \frac{1}{2^n},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $t > 0$. The proof now follows analogously as the proof of Theorem 1.7. For the coarse equivalence case we only need to work with the coarse inverses of φ_n 's instead of its inverses, and proceed in exactly the same way. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let X and Y be coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic, or simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent) Banach spaces, then $\ell_p(X)$ and $\ell_p(Y)$ are coarsely equivalent (resp. uniformly homeomorphic, or simultaneously homeomorphically and coarsely equivalent).*

Remark 6.3. The conditions on ω_{φ_n} and ρ_{ρ_n} in Theorem 6.1 cannot be omitted. Indeed, by Theorem 1.11 (resp. Theorem 1.9) of [MeN], if a Banach space X coarsely (resp. uniformly) embeds into a Banach space Y with nontrivial type, then X 's cotype is bounded by Y 's cotype. Therefore, $(\oplus_n \ell_\infty^n)_2$ does not coarsely (resp. uniformly) embed into $(\oplus_n \ell_2^n)_2 \cong \ell_2$, as the former has trivial cotype, and ℓ_2 has cotype 2.

Clearly, the method above gives us that, if X coarse Lipschitz embeds into Y , then $(\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$ coarse Lipschitz embeds into $(\oplus Y)_\mathcal{E}$. But we do not know the answer for this question if we do not have a linear lower bound for the compression modulus of the embedding. We end this section with a natural question.

Problem 6.4. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a 1-unconditional basic sequence. Assume that X coarsely (resp. uniformly) embeds into Y . Does it follow that $(\oplus X)_\mathcal{E}$ coarsely (resp. uniformly) embeds into $(\oplus Y)_\mathcal{E}$?

Notice that the answer to the problem above is trivially yes if $\mathcal{E}$ is the standard basis of c_0 . Indeed, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a uniform embedding, then $\Phi = (\varphi)_n$ is a uniform embedding of $(\oplus X)_{c_0}$ into $(\oplus Y)_{c_0}$. If φ is a coarse equivalence, then $\Phi = (\varphi)_n$ does not need to be well defined, so the same argument does not work. However, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\varphi(x) = 0$, for all $x \in B_X$. Then, the map $\Phi = (\varphi)_n$ is well defined, and it is a coarse embedding.

Remark 6.5. We should notice that, in [Ka4], Kalton only deals with what he calls “coarse homeomorphisms”, i.e., a coarse equivalence which is also a bijection. However it is easy to show that X and Y are coarsely homeomorphic if and only if X and Y are coarsely equivalent, for all Banach spaces X and Y . This follows from the easy fact that if X and Y are coarsely equivalent, then X and Y have the same density character, which equals the cardinality of any net in X and Y (for separable Banach spaces this follows from Proposition 5.5).

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank his adviser C. Rosendal for all the help and attention he gave to this paper.

REFERENCES

- [AB] F. Albiac, and F. Baudier, *Embeddability of snowflaked metrics with applications to the nonlinear geometry of the spaces L_p and ℓ_p for $0 < p < \infty$* , J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 1, 1-24.
- [AhMMi] I. Aharoni, B. Maurey, and B. S. Mityagin, *Uniform embeddings of metric spaces and of Banach spaces into Hilbert spaces*, Israel J. Math. 52 (1985), 251-265.
- [AnS] G. Androulakis, and Th. Schlumprecht, *The Banach space S is complementably minimal and subsequentially prime*, Studia Math. 156 (2003), no. 3, 227-242.
- [BeL] Y. Benyamini, and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis*, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [CSH] P. Casazza, and T. Shura, *Tsirelson space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1363, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [D] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach spaces-selected topics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 485, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [FHHaMoZ] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach space theory, The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [G] W. T. Gowers, *A new dichotomy for Banach spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6(6) (1996) 1083-1093.
- [JR] W. B. Johnson, N. L. Randrianarivony, *ℓ_p ($p > 2$) does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (4) (2006), 1045-1050.
- [K] M. I. Kadets, *A proof of the topological equivalence of all separable infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilözen. 1 (1967), 61-70 (Russian).
- [Ka] N. Kalton, *The nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 21 (2008), 7-60.
- [Ka2] N. Kalton, *Lipschitz and uniform embeddings into ℓ_∞* , Fund. Math. 212 (2011), 53-69.
- [Ka3] N. Kalton, *The uniform structure of Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. 354 (2012), 1247-1288.
- [Ka4] N. Kalton, *Uniformly homeomorphic Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 194 (2013), 151-182.
- [MeN] Mendel and Naor, *Metric cotype*, Ann. Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 1, 247-298.
- [Mu] J. Munkres, *Topology, Second edition*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1975.
- [N] A. Naor, *Uniform nonextendability from nets*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1506.05391.pdf>.
- [NoY] P. W. Nowak, and G. Yu, *Large space geometry*, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012.
- [ORon] E. Odell, and H. P. Rosenthal, *A double-dual characterization of separable Banach-spaces containing ℓ_1* , Israel J. of Math. 20 (1975), 375-384.
- [OS] E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, *The Distortion Problem*, Acta Math. 173 (1994), no. 2, 259-281.
- [Os] M. Ostrovskii, *Coarse embeddability into Banach spaces*, Topology Proceedings 33 (2009), 163-183.
- [R] N. L. Randrianarivony, *Characterization of quasi-Banach spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (5) (2006), 1315-1317.
- [Ri] M. Ribe, *Existence of separable uniformly homeomorphic nonisomorphic Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 48 (1984), 139-147.
- [Ro] C. Rosenthal, *Equivariant geometry of Banach spaces and topological groups*, preprint.
- [T] H. Toruńczyk, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, Fund. Math. 111 (1981), 247-262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE (M/C 249), UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA
E-mail address: demendoncabrera@gmail.com